

**procedures and  
conditions of  
accreditation**

Third edition August 2007

# general criteria for accreditation

## Procedures and Conditions of Accreditation

### AS 1

<b>Edition Statement</b>			
<b>Edition</b>	<b>Amendment</b>	<b>Date of Issue</b>	<b>ISBN No.</b>
1		Sept 1998	0908611 23 4
2	Revised	May 2005	0908611 23 4
3	Revised and reformatted	August 2007	978-0-908611-03-4

Published by:  
**International Accreditation New Zealand**  
626 Great South Road, Ellerslie, Auckland 1051  
Private Bag 28908, Remuera, Auckland 1541, New Zealand  
Telephone 64 9 525 6655  
Facsimile 64 9 525 2266  
Email: [info@ianz.govt.nz](mailto:info@ianz.govt.nz)  
Internet: [www.ianz.govt.nz](http://www.ianz.govt.nz)

<b>Contents</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>Scope</b>		5
<b>Section A: Accreditation Procedures</b>		5
1	Introduction	5
2	Structure	5
2.1	Organisation Chart	6
3	Operational Standards	7
3.1	IANZ Operational Standards	7
3.2	Accreditation Standards (General Criteria)	7
3.3	Specific Technical Criteria	7
4	Accreditation Procedures	8
4.1	Overview	8
4.2	Information and Preliminary Discussions	8
4.3	Formal Application for Accreditation	8
4.4	Authorised Representatives	8
4.5	Documentation Review	9
4.6	Approaching the Initial Assessment	9
4.7	The Assessment Procedure	9
4.8	Continuation of the Initial Assessment	10
4.9	Scope of Accreditation	10
4.10	Surveillance and Reassessment	11
4.11	Extension of Accreditation Scope	11
4.12	Suspension and Withdrawal of Accreditation	12
4.13	Accreditation Process Chart	13
<b>Section B: Rights and Duties of Accredited Organisations</b>		14
5	Conditions of Accreditation	14
5.1	Duties of Applicant and Accredited Organisations	14
5.2	Rights of Applicant and Accredited Organisations	15
5.3	Confidentiality	16
5.4	Accreditation Fees	16
6	Appeals and Complaints Procedures	16
6.1	Appeals about IANZ Decisions	16
6.2	Complaints about Accredited Organisations	17
6.3	Complaints about IANZ Activities	17
<b>APPENDIX 1</b>		
Rules for the Endorsement of Reports		18
Rules		18
Guidance		19
Accreditation Symbols		20
Endorsement Statements		20



## **Scope**

*Procedures and Conditions of Accreditation* (PCA) explains the structure of International Accreditation New Zealand (IANZ) and the procedures for accreditation by IANZ. After briefly introducing IANZ, Section A overviews the accreditation programmes before discussing accreditation procedures in detail. Section B describes the rights and duties of accredited organisations.

This version of PCA supersedes the original published in 1998.

## **Section A: Accreditation Procedures**

### **1 Introduction**

International Accreditation New Zealand a national technical accreditation body, a multi-disciplinary agency with internationally recognised expertise in accreditation programme management.

Accreditation entails examination of an organisation's management system (both quality and technical systems), involving a detailed on-site assessment of the organisation's competence in key technical areas such as staff, methods, equipment, accommodation and the like. Assessment teams normally consist of one IANZ management system assessor (the lead assessor) and at least one technical expert to evaluate the technical system. Larger teams are used in bigger organisations or those seeking more extensive accreditation.

Accreditation provides formal recognition that an organisation is meeting internationally accepted standards of quality, performance, technical expertise and competence. Accreditation is an independent endorsement of an organisation's commitment to these standards.

IANZ operates accreditation programmes for the following:

- (a) Laboratories
- (b) Inspection Bodies
- (c) Medical Imaging (Radiology) Services
- (d) Proficiency Testing Providers
- (e) Reference Material Producers.

IANZ also registers:

- (a) Test facilities meeting the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice. The registration of these facilities is not within the scope of this document – see *Procedures and Conditions of GLP Registration* (AS2)
- (b) Conformity Assessment Bodies designated for European Union CE marking and other Government to Government trade agreements.

Laboratory and Inspection Body accreditations are offered in a number of fields of technology. Similarly, Medical Imaging (Radiology) Service accreditation covers a number of different diagnostic imaging disciplines. Details of these are available from IANZ.

Laboratory accreditation is offered by IANZ to both testing and calibration laboratories.

### **2 Structure**

Established by Act of Parliament in 1972, the Testing Laboratory Registration Council is IANZ's governing body. The Council is a not-for-profit, user-funded Crown entity that promotes the highest possible technical standards in New Zealand's industrial, technical, commercial, regulatory, health care and administrative sectors.

The Act establishes a Council of nine members who are responsible to the Minister of Commerce for the administration of its programmes. The Council works very much as a board of directors, responsible for the broad strategic management of IANZ activities. Day to day supervision is delegated to the Council's Director, the Chief Executive of IANZ.

The General Manager - Accreditation Services, Programme Managers and Accreditation Assessors hold appropriate qualifications in science, engineering and technology and are experienced in management system operation and assessment.


The Accreditation Advisory Committee (AAC) is a Council-appointed committee of experts assisting IANZ in the operation of the accreditation programmes. Its functions are:

- (a) To provide IANZ with liaison and feedback from the New Zealand technical community
- (b) To review with IANZ, the general criteria for accreditation in all fields of technology, as well as maintain consistency across specific technical documents for each field
- (c) To consider with IANZ, national and international developments in accreditation
- (d) To function as an independent expert body which can be consulted by the Council for decisions on any appeals arising from accreditation activities
- (e) To assist the General Manager - Accreditation Services, where required, in the establishment of ad hoc professional advisory committees in response to particular technical questions.

Technical advice and review of the accreditation programmes are also provided by Professional Advisory Committees (PAC) for each broad area of technology. Key PAC functions are similar to those of the AAC, but also include:

- (a) Technical review of assessment reports and responses from applicants for accreditation
- (b) Approval of specific criteria documents
- (c) Approval of technical experts
- (d) Providing general technical advice in the area of technology concerned.

## 2.1 Organisation Chart



### **3 Operational Standards**

#### **3.1 IANZ Operational Standards**

The operation of the IANZ Laboratory, Inspection Body, Medical Imaging (Radiology) Service, Proficiency Testing Provider and Reference Material Producer programmes complies with the requirements of the international standard ISO/IEC 17011: *Conformity assessment - General requirements for accreditation bodies accrediting conformity assessment bodies*.

IANZ accreditation programmes are subject to regular internal audit, as well as external evaluation by overseas accreditation co-operations with which IANZ has mutual recognition arrangements. This ensures compliance with these standards.

#### **3.2 Accreditation Standards (General Criteria)**

Accredited organisations are assessed against all of the requirements of the following standards:  
*Note: these standards may be New Zealand adoptions and will have NZS in the standard title but are otherwise unaltered.*

##### **Laboratories (except Medical Testing)**

ISO/IEC 17025: *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*.

##### **Laboratories (Medical Testing)**

ISO 15189: *Medical Laboratories - Particular requirements for quality and competence*.

##### **Inspection Bodies**

ISO/IEC 17020: *General requirements for the operation of various types of bodies performing inspection*. (Assessments are carried out against the *Requirements for Inspection Body Accreditation in New Zealand* (RIBANZ) general criteria which include the requirements of ISO/IEC 17020 together with internationally agreed interpretations).

##### **Radiology Services**

The *New Zealand Code of Radiological Management Practice*, a version of ISO/IEC 17025 modified specifically for medical imaging (radiology) services.

##### **Proficiency Testing Providers**

ISO/IEC Guide 43 – Part 1: *Proficiency Testing by Inter-Laboratory Comparisons – Development and Operation of Proficiency Testing Schemes* and ILAC Guide-G13: *Guidelines for the Requirements for the Competence of Providers of Proficiency Testing Schemes*.

##### **Reference Material Producers**

ISO/IEC Guide 34: *General requirements for the Competence of Reference Material Producers*, in combination with ISO/IEC 17025.

#### **3.3 Specific Technical Criteria**

In addition to the general requirements of the accreditation standards in 3.2, organisations are also assessed and accredited against more specific technical requirements relating to accepted good practice for that particular scientific discipline or technology. Where needed these are defined, along with the IANZ requirements for approved signatories or key technical personnel, in IANZ specific and supplementary criteria documents. Approved signatories/key technical personnel are staff members recognised by IANZ as competent to release results and/or authorise reports and other information.

## **4 Accreditation Procedures**

### **4.1 Overview**

Organisations seeking accreditation by IANZ will need to write down their technical and quality systems in a manual (or other alternative set of procedures). This manual has to meet the requirements of the relevant standard for their type of activity in section 3.2 above. A schematic overview of the accreditation procedure is shown in the flowchart in clause 4.13.

### **4.2 Information and Preliminary Discussions**

Information about IANZ accreditation programmes is freely available upon request as are copies of the general, specific and supplementary criteria relevant to the organisation's activities. In addition, IANZ accreditation staff members are available for advice and assistance.

Organisations may request an advisory visit to their premises by an IANZ staff member to review their existing systems and procedures and/or to explain accreditation in more detail. This service is provided at the IANZ normal hourly professional fee plus expenses. IANZ can advise on the readiness for the initial assessment and, also, on any aspects of the management systems that need further development. However, IANZ cannot provide detailed advice or compliant procedures that are in the nature of consultation.

If organisations have had no formal contact with IANZ in the past, such a visit is strongly recommended. Experience suggests that the cost of an advisory visit will be more than recovered by the savings in time at the initial assessment.

### **4.3 Formal Application for Accreditation**

Applications must be accompanied by the application fee detailed in the current issue of the relevant IANZ fee schedule.

Before the initial assessment, it is essential that enough background information is provided to IANZ to enable IANZ staff to select appropriate technical expert(s) and to brief them prior to their visit to the applicant organisation. The necessary information is requested in an Accreditation Questionnaire which accompanies the application form and should be returned with it. Some of the important information IANZ needs in the questionnaire is:

- (a) The classes/types of test/inspection/service for which accreditation is sought (Laboratory and Inspection Body programmes particularly). These are detailed in the IANZ Specific Criteria document for the particular technology and/or activity
- (b) The staff members the organisation wishes to nominate as IANZ approved signatories or key technical personnel
- (c) The test or inspection procedures or other work methods used within each technical area
- (d) Each site for which accreditation is sought.

Each application is allocated to the appropriate IANZ Programme Manager (PM) for the field of technology concerned. The PM will designate an Assessment Coordinator (lead assessor) who will contact the applicant organisation to arrange a suitable date for the assessment and to discuss the proposed technical experts. All sites offering the services for which accreditation is sought will need to be visited by the assessment team.

### **4.4 Authorised Representative**

Each applicant and each accredited organisation must nominate a senior staff member to represent it in all dealings with IANZ. This person is the IANZ point of contact with the organisation and is known as the authorised representative. All correspondence, invoices, etc which IANZ sends to the organisation will be addressed to the authorised representative.

The authorised representative may be any senior staff member from either the technical or managerial staff. It is important that they are in a position of sufficient authority to ensure their organisation

complies with the criteria for accreditation at all times. There are advantages in nominating a person who is not closely involved in the day-to-day operation but has authority over it.

If an authorised representative resigns or if an organisation wishes to replace that person, then IANZ must be informed as soon as possible of the name of the new authorised representative.

The authorised representative is expected to be present at on-site assessment entry and exit meetings.

#### **4.5 Documentation Review**

Before the on-site assessment of the applicant organisation, manuals and supporting documents making up the technical and quality systems will be comprehensively reviewed to ensure compliance with the relevant general criteria (the standards), the relevant specific criteria and other criteria as detailed in this publication. Prior to or during on-site assessment, the applicant will be notified of any significant changes needed to their documents.

#### **4.6 Approaching the Initial Assessment**

IANZ encourages organisations to consider the positive, helpful elements of the assessment and to regard it as an opportunity to obtain professional, technical and quality management advice. The assessment team is not there to find fault. Its function is to provide helpful comment and suggestions to enable you to maintain an effective technical and quality system.

The assessment is a fact-finding exercise undertaken jointly by the organisation's staff and the assessment team.

IANZ maintains a panel of specialised technical experts who are chosen for their personal knowledge and expertise. They are drawn from industry, commercial organisations, research associations, consultancies, academic institutions and government departments, both within New Zealand and overseas. The assessment team comprises the IANZ lead assessor and one or more technical experts. When acting on behalf of IANZ, the technical expert does not represent their employer or any other organisation with which they may be associated.

Organisations have the right to veto the use of particular technical experts proposed for any assessment, provided the reasons are valid e.g. conflict of interest.

#### **4.7 The Assessment Procedure**

The objective of IANZ assessments is to confirm that organisations are actually doing what their manuals say they will do and that it meets good practice for that discipline. During its on-site visit, the assessment team will focus on the technical operations, the quality system, the competence of signatory applicants and key technical personnel, and on the methods used. Information gathered will include, but is not limited to, review of records, discussions with management and signatory/ technical personnel and the observation of activities within the requested scope of accreditation. The team may wish to witness tests or other work relevant to the scope.

Most assessments take one or two working days to complete but visits to larger organisations, or those whose work extends over a range of technologies, will take longer. The assessment begins with a meeting between the IANZ team and the senior staff of the organisation. This entry meeting provides an opportunity for:

- (a) The timetable and scope of the assessment to be finalised
- (b) A review of the Accreditation Questionnaire
- (c) Resolution of any immediate queries that the assessors or staff may have.

Organisations are asked to provide a guide(s)/escort(s) for each assessment team member for the duration of the visit. These escorts should be senior staff members of the organisation who have sufficient authority to ensure that assessors have access to all documents, personnel and activities they may wish to see.

Observations made during the assessment will be recorded on a checklist or notebook. These will include observations of compliance as well as of any non-compliance.

Following the information gathering, the assessors meet to review their notes and summarise their findings.

The assessment ends with an exit meeting where representatives of the organisation are given this summary including details of any areas of non-compliance that have been found and guidance on correcting them. All findings will be fully discussed before the team leaves.

Within ten working days of the visit, the organisation will receive a comprehensive written report on the assessment findings which were discussed at the exit meeting. The report will place the findings into two categories: Corrective Action Requests (CARs) and Recommendations:

- (a) **CARs** are actions that the organisation must carry out before accreditation can be granted. CARs usually relate to non-compliance with the General or Specific Criteria.
- (b) **Recommendations** are actions that the organisation is urged to carry out in the interests of good practice, but are not considered CARs.

The IANZ Assessment Coordinator (AC)/lead assessor will monitor progress in carrying out the required actions. Once the AC is satisfied that all conditions for accreditation have been cleared, they will prepare a report on the assessment for consideration by the General Manager - Accreditation Services and the relevant PAC. This includes the proposed scope, the assessment report and responses to it, information on the key personnel, as well as any relevant proficiency activity and follow-up action.

The PAC members review the assessment report. If they are satisfied that all accreditation criteria have been met, they advise the Chairman of the AAC who will recommend to the IANZ Director that accreditation may be awarded on behalf of the Council. The recommendation includes the particular tests or types of activities for which accreditation is to be granted and, where relevant, the names of staff that are to be awarded signatory approval or have been appointed as key technical personnel. The Council will grant accreditation, issue a Certificate of Accreditation and publish the name of the organisation, together with details of its scope of accreditation, on its website at [www.ianz.govt.nz](http://www.ianz.govt.nz).

Accreditation certificates remain the property of IANZ.

Accreditation allows the accredited organisation to endorse relevant certificates, reports or other relevant outputs in the name of IANZ. The detailed requirements for IANZ endorsement are given in Appendix 1 to this publication. Endorsement with the IANZ logo is not compulsory but is strongly encouraged because it adds credibility to the work of the accredited organisation.

#### **4.8 Continuation of the Initial Assessment**

Where major departures from accreditation criteria are found during an initial assessment, a further visit may be needed to confirm the assessment team's requests have been carried out. Where departures are less serious but remain un-cleared for more than one year after the initial assessment, another visit will also be needed for accreditation to proceed.

#### **4.9 Scope of Accreditation**

Detailing the scope of an organisation's technical activities is one of the distinguishing requirements of accreditation. To do this it is necessary to specify the range of products and services that are provided under the control of the organisation's technical and quality systems.

Accreditation is normally granted only for work that is performed regularly and for which organisations are properly equipped and have demonstrated their competence. The scope of accreditation will, therefore, vary with the range and complexity of work carried out, the competence and experience of staff and the level of technology available in the organisation. Should an organisation wish to be accredited for activities rarely carried out, its staff will need some means of keeping up to date with

those activities. This can include comparative tests within the organisation or with others, participation in inter-laboratory comparison programmes or regular testing/inspection of retained artefacts.

In granting accreditation IANZ will specify the following details in the scope of accreditation:

- (a) The products and services provided
- (b) Test/inspection methods used (e.g. Class 2.06: Chemical tests on cement in accordance with NZS 3122:1995)
- (c) For calibration laboratories, ranges of measurements and least uncertainties (e.g. Class 5.21: Calibration of Masses over the range 50 to 300g to a least uncertainty of 2 parts per million at 95% confidence)
- (d) For testing laboratories, either measurement uncertainties or limits of detection for some types of tests.

The available activity classes e.g. classes of test, are detailed in each Specific Criteria document for the relevant technologies.

There is currently a Specific Criteria booklet available for each field of testing in the Laboratory Programme. Activity classes may relate to products, services and/or equipment.

Organisations may carry out calibrations and commissioning checks on their own test and measuring equipment providing they are equipped to do so, have acceptable written methods and the required expertise. Such internal calibrations conducted for other organisations will not be accepted by IANZ unless specific accreditation for these activities has been granted.

#### **4.10 Surveillance and Reassessment**

Once accredited, organisations enter the IANZ programme of scheduled reassessment visits. These visits ensure that the technical and quality systems continue to meet the criteria for accreditation and continue to work effectively. IANZ reserves the right, however, to undertake an extra reassessment at any time should evidence suggest that this may be necessary.

Full technical (routine) reassessments are usually carried out at three yearly intervals, although for medical testing laboratories and medical imaging (radiology) services intervals may be up to four years and some special accreditation programmes require annual or more frequent reassessments.

Full technical reassessments are similar to initial assessments in their scope, duration, and process. Reporting procedures also resemble those at initial assessments, but once accredited there is a limit on the time organisations may take to carry out any requested changes. The time period will depend on the significance of the non-compliance and will be negotiated during the on-site exit meeting.

Surveillance visits, to confirm that the management systems are continuing to operate effectively and meeting accreditation criteria, are carried out annually between the full technical reassessments. Any Corrective Action Requests raised must also be corrected promptly.

Once compliance has been demonstrated within the agreed time interval, IANZ formally confirms continued accreditation.


#### **4.11 Extension of Accreditation Scope**

Accredited organisations may apply to have their scope of accreditation changed at any time. An extension to the range of accredited services or the addition of a new approved signatory, will usually require IANZ to carry out a limited assessment with a technical expert. Such a visit will be chargeable. If extensions to scope (or signatories) can be delayed until the next scheduled reassessment visit, such extra charges may be reduced. If CARs raised at such visits remain un-cleared more than one year later, an additional assessment will be needed before accreditation for the extension can proceed.

#### **4.12 Suspension and Withdrawal of Accreditation**

If routine reassessments, surveillance visits or special assessments reveal that an organisation's systems no longer meet IANZ's criteria for accreditation, or if the organisation refuses to carry out requested corrective actions either at all, or within the specified time, then accreditation may be suspended or withdrawn. Accreditation may also be suspended when an organisation, through no fault of its own, is temporarily unable to comply with the criteria for accreditation (e.g. when all of its approved signatories or key technical personnel leave). The management of accredited organisations is expected to plan its staff resources, as far as it can, to avoid such occurrences.

### 4.13 Accreditation Process Chart



## Section B: Rights and Duties of Accredited Organisations

### 5 Conditions of Accreditation

#### 5.1 Duties of Applicant and Accredited Organisations

- (a) Organisations must have a written management (technical and quality) system that meets all of the requirements of the criteria for accreditation in the relevant technology area. That is, the relevant general criteria for the selected accreditation programme, the specific and supplementary criteria document(s) for the relevant technology and this document. The management system must operate in the way it is documented.
- (b) Organisations undertake to adapt their practices to changes in the requirements for accreditation, as set out in Section 5.2(h) below.
- (c) Organisations must allow IANZ assessment teams reasonable access to their premises, facilities, resources, operations, procedures, records and staff so that IANZ can effectively assess the quality and technical systems and activities.
- (d) Where required for the conduct of an effective assessment by IANZ, organisations shall arrange for the witnessing of its accredited activities (or activities for which accreditation are sought). These may be at the site(s) of its clients or at other locations.
- (e) Organisations must pay all reasonable fees, charges and expenses relating to the assessments conducted (initial and subsequent assessments) and to the on-going maintenance of the accreditation by IANZ. Failure to do so may result in the suspension or withdrawal of the accreditation and a requirement for any further fees to be paid in advance.
- (f) Organisations must maintain impartiality and integrity in their dealings with clients, with other interested parties and with all those involved in the accreditation activity. Where applicable, organisations must provide to IANZ access to those documents that provide insight into the level of independence and impartiality of the organisation from its related bodies.
- (g) Accredited organisations may make claim to being accredited (or make reference to the accreditation in any advertising or communication medium) only for work covered by the scope of technical activities for which accreditation has been granted by IANZ and only if that work has been carried out in accordance with the IANZ criteria. Accredited and applicant organisations may not make any statement about current or prospective accreditation that IANZ considers misleading or which is not authorised. Organisations may not use their accreditation in such a way as to bring IANZ into disrepute.
- (h) Accredited organisations must not use their accreditation to imply approval by IANZ of any product or item that has been tested or calibrated or inspected.
- (i) Accredited organisations need to ensure that the reports or certificates issued (or parts of them) are not used in a way that could mislead clients or others.
- (j) Accredited organisations must notify IANZ promptly of changes in their organisation's status or operations such as:
  - (i) Loss of approved signatories, key technical personnel or other staff authorised to release technical work
  - (ii) Changes in senior personnel duties and responsibilities (including change of authorised representative)
  - (iii) Significant changes in accommodation and/or equipment
  - (iv) Changes in legal, commercial or organisational status
  - (v) Changes in policies and procedures.Should IANZ decide these changes could have affected the compliance of the accredited organisation with the accreditation criteria, then an assessment may be carried out to confirm that the requirements continue to be met.
- (k) Accredited organisations must not vary the technical operations or facilities covered in the scope of accreditation (Schedule to Certificate of Accreditation) during the period between assessments, unless notice is given to IANZ in writing and IANZ has confirmed that such changes do not make the accreditation invalid.

*The purpose of this clause is to ensure that no amendments are introduced that will reduce the technical validity or effectiveness of the accredited operations. It should not restrict the improvement or development of systems or operations. The size or significance of changes should be considered before IANZ is informed. In any case, IANZ will review all changes at each surveillance assessment or reassessment.*

- (l) The IANZ accreditation logo and the terms “Accredited Laboratory”, “Accredited Calibration Laboratory”, “Accredited Inspection Body”, “Accredited Medical Imaging (Radiology) Service”, “Accredited Proficiency Testing Provider” or “Accredited Reference Material Producer” shall be used only under the conditions outlined in Appendix 1.
- (m) If accreditation is withdrawn (by either the accredited organisation itself or by IANZ), the organisation must immediately stop using the IANZ accreditation logo and the term “Accredited Laboratory”, “Accredited Calibration Laboratory”, “Accredited Inspection Body”, “Accredited Medical Imaging (Radiology) Service”, “Accredited Proficiency Testing Provider” or “Accredited Reference Material Producer”, and all advertising material which contains the term or the logo or refers to them. Any other documents the accredited organisation has which refer to accreditation (such as the Certificate(s) of Accreditation, Schedule(s) to the Certificate of Accreditation or display plaques) must be returned to IANZ or destroyed.
- (n) Organisations temporarily unable to meet accreditation requirements may be asked by IANZ to cease using the endorsement and the term “Accredited Laboratory” or the other terms in (m). In such circumstances, organisations will also be asked not to claim compliance with the criteria for accreditation until IANZ is satisfied that they are again meeting the requirements or pending the result of any appeal made.

If accredited organisations fail to comply with such a request, IANZ may:

- (i) Suspend accreditation or
- (ii) Withdraw accreditation or
- (iii) Decline to grant or renew accreditation or
- (iv) Reduce the scope of accreditation or
- (v) Decline to extend the scope of accreditation.

Such decisions and the grounds for them will be communicated in writing. Compliance with these decisions will be reviewed at routine surveillance and reassessment visits.

- (o) IANZ may withdraw or decline to grant or renew accreditation if an organisation becomes bankrupt or makes any arrangements or composition with its creditors, or enters into liquidation, whether compulsory or voluntary (but not including liquidation for the purpose of reconstruction), or has a receiver appointed, or is sold or is taken over. Such decisions and the grounds for them will be communicated in writing by IANZ. In addition, IANZ may require the organisation to stop displaying its accreditation certificate during this period and to refrain from any reference to itself as an IANZ accredited organisation.

## **5.2 Rights of Applicant and Accredited Organisations**

- (a) IANZ accreditation is open to all organisations that come within the scope of existing IANZ accreditation programmes, regardless of size or professional affiliations
- (b) IANZ will confine its requirements, assessments and accreditation decisions to the scope of accreditation requested
- (c) Applications will normally be acknowledged within 10 working days of receipt and applicant organisations will be sent a receipted tax invoice for the application fee paid
- (d) An estimate of time costs and expenses (where relevant) for assessment and surveillance activity will generally be provided prior to each of the IANZ visits. Where an organisation is not well prepared for the assessment, the assessment cost may well be higher than the estimate
- (e) IANZ will report the results of each assessment within 10 working days of the date of the visit
- (f) IANZ will attempt to respond to written communications within 10 working days
- (g) Upon the granting of accreditation, IANZ will issue a Certificate of Accreditation and will publish on its website the fact that the organisation has been granted accreditation, together with details of its scope of accreditation. Accreditation certificates remain the property of IANZ
- (h) IANZ will notify accredited organisations of any changes in the criteria for accreditation and allow reasonable time to adjust procedures to meet the new requirements
- (i) Accreditation is renewable annually subject to meeting the requirements in 5.1

- (j) Organisations have the right to veto any PAC member or technical expert who may be considered to have a conflict of interest when considering applications for accreditation or when conducting assessments
- (k) Complaints about or appeals to IANZ can be made to the Director (see 6 below)
- (l) On request, IANZ will provide information about sources of acceptable measurement traceability for the scope of accreditation being sought
- (m) IANZ can provide up to date information about those organisations with which it has mutual recognition arrangements and where acceptance of reports and certificates should be facilitated by such arrangements.

### **5.3 Confidentiality**

IANZ requires its staff, technical experts, advisory committee members and Council members to abide by a code of ethics, professional standards and confidentiality. They agree in writing to keep information about applicant and accredited organisations confidential and to declare any conflicts of interest.

Until accredited, IANZ will treat all organisations' applications as confidential. Once accredited, IANZ will publish the scope of accreditation on its website at [www.ianz.govt.nz](http://www.ianz.govt.nz).

### **5.4 Accreditation Fees**

Accreditation attracts fees as follows:

- (a) Application Fee
- (b) Assessment Fee (hourly charge)
- (c) Assessment Expenses (at cost or included in an annual accreditation fee)
- (d) Annual Administration Fee.

Current fees are set out in separate fee schedules which are available on request.

## **6 Appeals and Complaints Procedures**

Appeals and complaints fall into three categories:

- (a) Appeals about IANZ decisions
- (b) Complaints about the activities of accredited organisations
- (c) Complaints about IANZ activities.

If any person or organisation wishes to complain or appeal about IANZ activities or decisions, or the activities of accredited organisations, these should be in writing and be sent to the Director of IANZ. Verbal complaints to the Director or any other IANZ staff member may be acted upon, but a written complaint ensures that relevant information is provided in a logical manner.

### **6.1 Appeals about IANZ Decisions**

An appeal may be made about any IANZ assessment decision or accreditation decision, such as:

- (a) Those involving the assessment process, including application
- (b) IANZ technical decisions, including corrective action requests raised and signatory approvals
- (c) Denial of accreditation
- (d) Suspension of accreditation or part of the accreditation scope
- (e) Withdrawal of accreditation
- (f) Any other action that impedes accreditation.

In the first instance, the person or organisation seeking an appeal should attempt to resolve any technical appeals with the assessment coordinator or the IANZ Programme Manager for the field of technology concerned.

When IANZ receives an appeal about an accreditation decision, the General Manager - Accreditation Services (GMAS) will appoint an appropriate and competent person who is independent of the subject of the appeal, to investigate it. The investigation will consider whether:

- (a) Current IANZ policies and procedures have been properly followed

- (b) Current IANZ policies and procedures are adequate and appropriate
- (c) Accreditation decisions have been soundly based on objective evidence.

The result of the investigation and any proposed actions on the part of IANZ will be reported to the person or organisation who lodged the appeal.

If not satisfied with the IANZ response to the appeal, the complainant may approach the Chair of the Accreditation Advisory Committee for further investigation. The Chair of the Accreditation Advisory Committee, following consultation, will make the final decision and recommend the appropriate action for the GMAS to take.

The results of these higher investigations will also be reported to the person or organisation who lodged the appeal.

Contact details for the Chair of the Accreditation Advisory Committee are available from IANZ.

## **6.2 Complaints about Accredited Organisations**

It is the policy of IANZ that accredited organisations are ultimately responsible for the quality of their own services. They should deal appropriately through their own complaints procedures with complaints from customers or competitors.

When IANZ receives a formal complaint about an accredited organisation e.g. from a customer or a competitor, the Director will appoint an appropriate person to investigate it. Initially, the IANZ role will be to assist the complainant and the accredited organisation to negotiate a satisfactory outcome.

IANZ will then check at the next assessment that the organisation's response and corrective actions resulting from the complaint were appropriate and effective. IANZ will also investigate the substance of the complaint to determine whether the organisation's operations, facilities and procedures continue to comply with the criteria for accreditation.

If a customer is unable to resolve a quality problem through liaison with the accredited organisation, this may be taken into account in deciding how soon to make the next reassessment.

The results of IANZ investigations and any proposed actions will be reported by the appointed person to the accredited organisation and to the complainant. If either the accredited organisation or the complainant is not satisfied with the IANZ response, the complaint may be referred to the Accreditation Advisory Committee for further investigation.

The results of this investigation will also be reported to the accredited organisation and to the complainant.

## **6.3 Complaints about IANZ Activities**

Any complaints about the performance or behaviour of IANZ services or staff will be investigated by the Manager - Quality Improvement (MQI), on behalf of the Director. The complainant will be advised of the result of the investigation and of any corrective actions taken.

## APPENDIX 1

### Rules for the Endorsement of Reports

IANZ encourages accredited organisations to make reference to their accreditation in reports, certificates or other documents produced. A report carrying the IANZ accreditation symbol (see IANZ accreditation symbols on page 20) or any combination of the words “IANZ”, “IANZ Accredited”, “Accredited Organisation”, etc, is referred to as an IANZ endorsed report. Such endorsed reports enjoy wide acceptance in New Zealand, and overseas through a network of formal mutual recognition arrangements between IANZ and overseas equivalents (see *accreditation Worldwide IA 5*).

Accredited organisations may endorse reports as long as they meet the criteria for accreditation. The rules for endorsement allow organisations to mix both accredited and non-accredited results as long as the non-accredited results are clearly marked as such.

#### Rules

- (a) When accredited organisations wish to endorse a report they must use the IANZ symbol of the relevant programme e.g.
  - (i) Accredited Laboratory
  - (ii) Accredited Calibration Laboratory
  - (iii) Accredited Inspection Body
  - (iv) Accredited Medical Imaging (Radiology) Service
  - (v) Accredited Proficiency Testing Provider or
  - (vi) Accredited Reference Material Producer.Registered GLP Compliant facilities can also use the Registered GLP Compliant symbol and the rules governing its use are detailed in the IANZ publication *Procedures and Conditions of GLP Registration (AS2)*. Registered Conformity Assessment Bodies which are accredited will use their accreditation symbol. Those which are not accredited may not use an IANZ accreditation symbol.
- (b) An endorsed report must be signed or otherwise authorised by an approved signatory/key technical person for those accreditation programmes where the concept is relevant.
- (c) When it is impractical to display the programme accreditation symbol, accredited organisations may use a written description to promote their accreditation status. In these circumstances, one of the following needs to be used:
  - Preferred option**  
*Accredited by International Accreditation New Zealand*
  - Alternative option**  
*New Zealand accredited (Laboratory / Calibration Laboratory / Radiology Service / Inspection Body / Proficiency Testing Provider / Reference Material Producer).*
- (d) When an accredited organisation’s scope of accreditation includes all the activities to be reported in an endorsed report, the symbol, together with the standard statement that the work has been performed within the scope of accreditation, will make up the endorsement (see examples page 20).
- (e) If accredited organisations wish to include in the same endorsed report both accredited and non-accredited results, they must:
  - (i) Endorse the report with the programme accreditation symbol together with the statement that not all results are IANZ accredited, and show how non-accredited results are marked in the report (see Example 2, page 20)
  - (ii) Mark each non-accredited result as indicated in (i).
- (f) If accredited organisations use the accreditation symbol on their letterhead and/or other corporate stationery, they must not report results or professional opinions on that stationery unless the report also complies with the requirements set out above.

*Note: A report must have the results of at least one accredited test (or other activity) or it cannot be endorsed at all and cannot contain any reference to IANZ.*

## **Guidance**

1. When accredited organisations wish to endorse a report containing expressions of professional opinion, interpretations of results or other statements, then these must be directly based on technical results contained, or referred to, in the report and should be placed as close as practicable to those results. In some fields of technology, such opinions may not be endorsed. Please contact IANZ for further information.
2. When accredited organisations sub-contract work to another accredited organisation (including remote branches of their own organisation), the sub-contracted results may be incorporated into an endorsed report, provided the other organisation has endorsed the work concerned and provided that there is a clear indication in the endorsed report that the work was sub-contracted. Where the sub-contractor is not accredited, the sub-contracted results must also be identified as not accredited as described in clause (e) (i) and (ii) above, as well as being identified as being sub-contracted results. Note that sub-contracted calibrations may not be incorporated by the contracting calibration laboratory. The sub-contracting laboratory's calibration report or certificate must be issued in the name of the client.
3. When test results are merged from a number of separate organisations (or branches of the same organisation) into a single consolidated report, the report may be endorsed provided that it complies with the requirements in 2. above for sub-contracted work.
4. If an accredited organisation issues a report from a site within the company other than where the work was carried out e.g. a head office, such a report may be endorsed:
  - (a) If it meets all other requirements for endorsed reports
  - (b) If it carries (with their approval) the signatures, facsimile signatures or typed names of the appropriate approved signatories/key technical personnel from the organisation
  - (c) If its release is authorised by a person at the issuing site approved by IANZ to take responsibility for remotely issued reports
  - (d) If copies of the final report are kept at both the issuing site and the contributing locations.
5. Accredited Laboratories and Inspection Bodies sending reports/certificates to Australia are able to take advantage of the IANZ mutual recognition arrangement with the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA) by using the NATA and IANZ combined accreditation symbols on their endorsed reports/certificates. The rules for use of the combined symbols are available on [http://www.ianz.govt.nz/publications2/info\\_guides.htm](http://www.ianz.govt.nz/publications2/info_guides.htm) or may be obtained from IANZ.
6. Accredited Laboratories are able to take advantage of the IANZ membership of the International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation (ILAC) mutual recognition arrangement by using the international laboratory accreditation mark registered world wide by ILAC. The use of this combined mark (alongside the IANZ accreditation symbol) is subject to a sub-license agreement between IANZ and the accredited laboratory, details of which may be obtained from IANZ.

## Accreditation Symbols



## Endorsement Statements

### Example 1



### Example 2



*Note: Accredited organisations are reminded that any use of any of these symbols or a reference to IANZ in words is an endorsement. Also, where the words are used they must only be used in conjunction with the appropriate symbol.*